

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/394,867	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS, DAVID A.	
	Examiner Maria B. Marvich, PhD	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD.

(2) John Emanuele.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)



 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An IDS was submitted 9/21/05. The IDS did not meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(d). Furthermore, the IDS would not be considered due to the inability to process the great number of documents listed. Applicants requested that an examiner's amendment to correct the claims not be entered in the instant application in order that an RCE may be filed to allow consideration of the IDS. .



DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER